

HealthShare HS2024-02 Alert

1-FEB-2024

Dear InterSystems Customer:

I am writing because you are listed as the Security Contact for your organization. When risks have been uncovered that concern your use of InterSystems products, we are committed to providing you the necessary information so that you can assess your situation as quickly as possible.

We have identified several risks that may affect you when using InterSystems HealthShare® solutions, and the HealthShare® Health Connect and InterSystems IRIS for Health™ products.

Please read the information that follows. If you have any questions, please contact InterSystems Support at support@intersystems.com or +1.617.621.0700.

We understand and take very seriously our commitment to you to provide an effective and efficient solution while protecting patient safety and safeguarding patient information. Our Alert process complements our existing support processes. If you have questions about our processes for data protection, privacy, security, or clinical safety, including our Global Trust program, you can reach our Data Protection Officer, Ken Mortensen at globaltrust@intersystems.com.

If you ever have any clinical safety, privacy, security or operations related questions about HealthShare, do not hesitate to contact the Worldwide Response Center (WRC) through support@intersystems.com or +1.617.621.0700, so that we can assist you.

Respectfully,

Jonathan Teich, MD, PhD Director, Product Management – HealthShare

InterSystems
One Memorial Drive
Cambridge, MA 02142
TEL: +1.617.621.0600



Summary of Alerts

| Alert | Product & Versions Affected | Risk Category & Score |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| HS2024-02-01: Upgrade May Fail if Custom Code in HS.Local Package Fails to Compile | Unified Care, Clinical Viewer, Care Community, Provider Directory, Healthcare Action Engine Version 2023.2 InterSystems IRIS for Health 2023.3 | Operational: 3 - Medium Risk |
| HS2024-02-02: Cross-site Scripting Vulnerability in Administrative User Interface | HealthShare® Solutions products: Unified Care Record, Clinical Viewer, Care Community, Patient Index, Provider Directory, Personal Community | Security: 3 – Medium Risk |



Detail of Alerts

HS2024-02-01: Upgrade May Fail if Custom Code in HS.Local Package Fails to Compile

Issue date: 1-FEB-2024

Risk Category and Score:

| Clinical Safety Privacy | | Security | Operational |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Not Applicable | Not Applicable | Not Applicable | 3-Medium Risk |

Version and System Area Affected

HealthShare® Unified Care Record, Clinical Viewer, Care Community, and Provider

Directory version 2023.2

Products: Healthcare Action Engine version 2023.2

InterSystems IRIS for Health™ version 2023.3

Versions: See above

System areas affected: Upgrade, Customization

Reference: HSIEO-10078

Summary of Issue

Many customizations in the products are made possible by allowing customers to edit and compile ObjectScript code in the HS.Local.* package of classes. This includes customizing the SDA3 data model, custom callbacks for OAuth2, and many others.

- Customers who have made customizations in the HS.Local.* package may be affected by this defect.
- Customers with no customizations in HS.Local.* are not impacted by this defect.

The defect occurs when a customer is upgrading to an affected product version. During the upgrade process, the code in the <code>HS.Local.*</code> package is automatically compiled using the new version of the compiler. If any error occurs while compiling the <code>HS.Local.*</code> package, subsequent upgrade steps will also fail. The end result is that the instance will be left in a non-functioning state after the upgrade. This defect is a regression from previous versions in which any errors compiling the <code>HS.Local.*</code> package would not negatively affect subsequent upgrade steps.

All subsequent upgrade attempts will result in failure until the compilation errors in HS.Local.* are debugged and resolved.

NOTE: It is always recommended to test upgrades in a development or other lower-level, non-production environment before upgrading a LIVE environment. If the compilation errors in HS.Local.* are discovered, debugged, and resolved in a lower-level environment, the risk of this defect affecting an upgrade to a LIVE environment is drastically reduced.

Risk Assessment

The risk score and category were determined using InterSystems' Risk Rating process (outlined in the <u>Addendum</u>), and based on the following assessments:

Operational: 3 – Medium Risk Impact of typical adverse outcome = 4 out of 5
Likelihood of typical adverse outcome = 2 out of 5



Recommended Actions

Always test upgrades in a non-production environment before attempting to upgrade a LIVE environment. Before beginning an upgrade, always test that customizations, including those in <code>HS.Local.*</code>, compile on your current system as recommended in the pre-upgrade instructions.

- Only customers upgrading to HealthShare 2023.2 can request from the WRC a full-kit adhoc for HSIEO-10078 to be included on the target upgrade version of HS 2023.2. A patch adhoc is not available because this issue affects upgrades.
- Because IRIS for Health 2023.3 is a CD release, IRIS for Health 2023.3 customers will not be able to receive corrections for HSIEO-10078.

The correction for this defect, HSIEO-10078, will be included in all future releases of HealthShare including the planned 2023.2 maintenance release of HealthShare Solutions products.

Reference Information: HSIEO-10078

If you have any questions regarding this alert, please contact the <u>Worldwide Response Center</u>, and reference "Alert HS2024-02-01".

- End of Alert HS2024-02-01 -



HS2024-02-02: Cross-site Scripting Vulnerability in Administrative User Interface

Issue date: 1-FEB-2024

Risk Category and Score:

| Clinical Safety Privacy | | Security | Operational |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------|----------------|
| Not Applicable Not Applicable | | 3-Medium Risk | Not Applicable |

Version and System Area Affected

HealthShare® Solutions products:

Information Exchange, Unified Care Record, Clinical Viewer, Care Community, Patient Index, Provider Directory, Personal Community

Products:

HealthShare® Health Connect Healthcare Action Engine InterSystems IRIS for Health™

Versions: All released versions of all products

System areas affected: UI security Reference: HSHC-3809

Summary of Issue

A Cross-site Scripting (XSS) vulnerability has been found in the product user interface that can be exploited only by an authenticated user with administrative privileges.

The CVSS score is 6.1 (Medium):

https://www.first.org/cvss/calculator/3.0#CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Risk Assessment

The risk score and category were determined using InterSystems' Risk Rating process (outlined in the <u>Addendum</u>), and based on the following assessments:

Security: 3 – Medium Risk https://www.first.org/cvss/calculator/3.0#CVSS:3.0/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/UI:R/S:C/C:L/I:L/A:N

Recommended Actions

A correction is available from the Worldwide Response Center (WRC) as an ad hoc patch. This patch has been tested going back to version 2020.1. For versions older than 2020.1, an ad hoc patch may be made available on request once it has been produced and tested.

Reference Information: HSHC-3809

If you have any questions regarding this alert, please contact the <u>Worldwide Response Center</u>, and reference "Alert HS2024-02".

- End of Alert HS2024-02-02 -



Addendum

Contents:

- 1. Clinical Risk Rating Process
- 2. Operational Risk Rating Process
- 3. Privacy Risk Rating Process
- 4. Security Risk Rating Process

Clinical Risk Rating Process

InterSystems' clinical risk rating uses standard methodology to estimate the risk of a system hazard based on the most typical foreseeable adverse patient outcome, as opposed to the worst-case scenario. Experienced clinicians on our clinical safety team provide an estimate of the severity and likelihood using standard ordinal scales to derive the risk category.

Description of Outcome Severity

| Scale | Severity Classification | Number of Patients Affected | Interpretation |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Minimal | Single | Minimal injury from which recovery is expected in the short term. Minor psychological upset. Inconvenience. |
| 2 | Minor | Single | Minor injury from which recovery is not expected in the short term. Significant psychological trauma. |
| | | Multiple | Minor injury from which recovery is expected in the short term. Minor psychological upset. Inconvenience. |
| 3 | Moderate | Single | Severe injury or incapacity from which recovery is expected in the short term. Severe psychological trauma. |
| | | Multiple | Minor injury from which recovery is not expected in the short term. Significant psychological trauma. |
| 4 | Major | Single | Death. Permanent life-changing incapacity. Severe injury or incapacity from which recovery is not expected in the short term. |
| | | Multiple | Severe injury or incapacity from which recovery is expected in the short term. Severe psychological trauma. |
| 5 | Catastrophic | Multiple | Death. Permanent life-changing incapacity. Severe injury or incapacity from which recovery is not expected in the short term. |

Ordinal scale for the quantification of the severity of a specified patient outcome

Description of Outcome Likelihood

| Scale | Likelihood Classification | Interpretation | Frequency |
|-------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1 | Very low likelihood of harm | Harm will probably never happen/recur | Harm not expected to occur for years |
| 2 | Low likelihood of harm | Do not expect harm to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so | Harm expected to occur at least annually |
| 3 | Medium likelihood of harm | Harm might happen or recur occasionally | Harm expected to occur at least monthly |
| 4 | High likelihood of harm | Harm will probably happen/recur, but it is not a persisting issue/ circumstances | Harm expected to occur at least weekly |
| 5 | Very high likelihood of harm | Harm will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently | Harm expected to occur at least daily |

Ordinal scale for the quantification of the likelihood of a specified patient outcome



Risk Category

| | Risk Category as Allocated by Likelihood and Severity | | | | | |
|----------|---|---------|-------|------------------|--------|----------|
| | | | | Risk Score | | |
| | 5 - Catastrophic | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| | 4 - Major | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Severity | 3 - Moderate | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| | 2 - Minor | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | 1 - Minimal | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| | | 1-V low | 2-Low | 3-Med | 4-High | 5-V High |
| | | | | Likelihood of Ha | rm | |

Matrix showing risk category allocated on the basis of likelihood and severity for a specified patient harm.

Risk Acceptability

| Risk Score | Risk Category | Response to Baseline Risk | Response to Residual Risk |
|---------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Very low risk | Risk tolerable but mitigation is desirable. | Risk tolerable, passive surveillance recommended. |
| 2 | Low risk | Risk tolerable but mitigation is highly desirable. | Risk tolerable, passive surveillance required. |
| 3 | Medium risk | Undesirable level of risk. Attempts should be made to eliminate or control to reduce risk to an acceptable level. | Shall only be acceptable when further risk reduction is impractical. |
| 4 | High risk | Risk highly likely to be unacceptable. System, module or functionality should not go live, or should be taken out of use if possible, unless the risks arising from loss of use exceed those of continuing to use the system. Active surveillance required and urgent mitigation is mandatory. | Risk highly likely to be unacceptable unless the risks arising from loss of use exceed those of continuing to use the system. Consideration must be given to further risk mitigation and active surveillance required. |
| 5 | Very high risk | Unacceptable risk. System, module or functionality cannot go live, or must immediately be taken out of use. Mitigation mandatory. | System, module or functionality cannot go live, or must immediately be taken out of use. Further risk mitigation mandatory if system, module, or functionality to be returned to service. |

InterSystems response to baseline and residual risks



Operational Risk Rating Process

InterSystems' risk rating uses standard methodology to estimate the risk to operations based on the most typical foreseeable adverse outcomes, as opposed to the worst-case scenario, which is used to determine the impact and likelihood using standard ordinal scales to derive the risk rating. Operational Risk is the failure of the operational system (application, O/S, database, etc.) relating to:

- System Performance: the system performs with the expected functionality, throughput, and utilization.
- Data Quality: the system can provide assurance of the accuracy and consistency of data over the entire lifecycle of the data, including recording the data exactly as intended and, upon later retrieval, ensuring the data are the same as when data were originally recorded.
- **System Availability**: the system responds to operations in a time better than the calculated or estimated Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF) and continues to operate without noticeable (based upon expected performance) interruption or delay.

Description of Impact Rating

| - 00001 | ption of impact | |
|---------|-----------------|---|
| 5 | Very high risk | Full failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to performance, quality, or availability |
| 4 | High risk | Major (majority) failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to performance, quality, or availability |
| 3 | Medium risk | Limited failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to performance, quality, or availability |
| 2 | Low risk | Marginal failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to performance, quality, or availability |
| 1 | Very low risk | Incomplete (or intermittent) failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to performance, quality, or availability |

Description of Outcome Likelihood

| 5 | Very high risk | Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently | Expected to occur at every operational or use or with all processing |
|---|----------------|---|--|
| 4 | High risk | Will probably happen/recur, but it is not a persisting issue/ circumstances | Expected to occur regularly or with most processing |
| 3 | Medium risk | Might happen or recur occasionally | Expected to occur occasionally or with some processing |
| 2 | Low risk | Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so | Expected to occur a few times or with limited processing |
| 1 | Very low risk | Unlikely happen/recur | Not expected to occur over time of normal operation |

Risk Score & Category

The combination of the Impact and Likelihood produce an overall Risk Score and Risk Rating as follows:

| | | Likelihood | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 드 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Impact | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| t | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

| Risk Score | Risk Category |
|------------|----------------|
| 5 | Very high risk |
| 4 | High risk |
| 3 | Medium risk |
| 2 | Low risk |
| 1 | Very low risk |



Privacy Risk Rating Process

InterSystems' risk rating uses standard methodology to estimate the risk to privacy based on the most typical foreseeable adverse outcomes, as opposed to the worst-case scenario, which is used to determine the impact and likelihood using standard ordinal scales to derive the risk rating.

Description of Impact Rating

| | paon or impact | |
|---|----------------|---|
| 5 | Critical | Full public disclosure of confidential information, complete impact to data integrity, severe violation of legitimate basis for processing. |
| 4 | High | Disclosure to improper and unauthorized parties, operational impact to data integrity, elevated violation of legitimate basis for processing |
| 3 | Moderate | Limited disclosure to improper or unauthorized parties, limited impact to data integrity, existing violation of legitimate basis for processing |
| 2 | Low | Restricted disclosure to improper parties, restricted impact to data integrity, marginal violation of legitimate basis for processing |
| 1 | Minimal | No disclosure to improper or unauthorized parties, no discernable impact to data integrity, trivial or technical violation of legitimate basis for processing |

Description of Outcome Likelihood

| 5 | Critical | Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently | Expected to occur at every operational or use or with all processing |
|---|----------|---|--|
| 4 | High | Will probably happen/recur, but it is not a persisting issue/ circumstances | Expected to occur regularly or with most processing |
| 3 | Moderate | Might happen or recur occasionally | Expected to occur occasionally or with some processing |
| 2 | Low | Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so | Expected to occur a few times or with limited processing |
| 1 | Minimal | Unlikely happen/recur | Not expected to occur over time of normal operation |

Risk Score & Category

The combination of the Impact and Likelihood produce an overall Risk Score and Risk Category as follows:

| | | Likelihood | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| = | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Impact | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| t | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

| Risk Score | Risk Category | | |
|------------|----------------|--|--|
| 5 | Very high risk | | |
| 4 | High risk | | |
| 3 | Medium risk | | |
| 2 | Low risk | | |
| 1 | Very low risk | | |



Security Risk Rating Process

InterSystems' risk rating uses standard methodology to estimate the risk to security based on the most typical foreseeable adverse outcomes, as opposed to the worst-case scenario, which is used to determine the impact and likelihood using standard ordinal scales to derive the risk rating.

Description of Impact Rating

| 5 | Critical | Full failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability | |
|---|----------|---|--|
| 4 | High | Major (majority) failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability | |
| 3 | Moderate | Limited failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability | |
| 2 | Low | Marginal failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability | |
| 1 | Minimal | Incomplete (or intermittent) failure of safeguard(s) (administrative, physical, or technical) relating to confidentiality, integrity, and/or availability | |

Description of Outcome Likelihood

| 5 | Critical | Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently | Expected to occur at every operational or use or with all processing |
|---|----------|---|--|
| 4 | High | Will probably happen/recur, but it is not a persisting issue/ circumstances | Expected to occur regularly or with most processing |
| 3 | Moderate | Might happen or recur occasionally | Expected to occur occasionally or with some processing |
| 2 | Low | Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible it may do so | Expected to occur a few times or with limited processing |
| 1 | Minimal | Unlikely happen/recur | Not expected to occur over time of normal operation |

Risk Score & Category

The combination of the Impact and Likelihood produce an overall Risk Score and Risk Rating as follows:

| | | Likelihood | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| = | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Impact | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| ಕ | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 |

| Risk Score | Risk Category |
|------------|----------------|
| 5 | Very high risk |
| 4 | High risk |
| 3 | Moderate risk |
| 2 | Low risk |
| 1 | Minimal risk |

- End of HS2024-02 Alert Communication -